TIER II: TARGETED SWPBIS FEATURES

NOTE: This section may be completed individually or with other tiers as part of the full Tiered Fidelity Inventory

Feature	Possible Data Sources	Scoring Criteria
— Subscale: Teams		
2.1 Team Composition: Tier II (or combined Tier II/III) team includes a Tier II systems coordinator and individuals able to provide (a) applied behavioral expertise, (b) administrative authority, (c) knowledge of students, and (d) knowledge about operation of school across grade levels and programs.	• School organizational chart • Tier II team meeting minutes	0 = Tier II team does not include coordinator or all 4 core areas of Tier II team expertise 1 = Tier II team does not include coordinator and all 4 core areas of Tier II team expertise OR attendance of these members is below 80% 2 = Tier II team is composed of coordinator and individuals with all 4 areas of expertise, AND attendance of these members is at or above 80%
2.2 Team Operating Procedures: Tier II team meets at least monthly and has (a) regular meeting format/agenda, (b) minutes, (c) defined meeting roles, and (d) a current action plan.	• Tier II team meeting agendas and minutes • Tier II meeting roles descriptions • Tier II action plan	0 = Tier II team does not use regular meeting format/agenda, minutes, defined roles, or a current action plan 1= Tier II team has at least 2 but not all 4 features 2 = Tier II team meets at least monthly and uses regular meeting format/agenda, minutes, defined roles, AND has a current action plan

Scoring Criteria: 0=Not implemented; 1=Partially implemented; 2=Fully implemented

Feature	Possible Data Sources	Scoring Criteria
2.3 Screening: Tier II team uses decision rules and multiple sources of data (e.g., ODRs, academic progress, screening tools, attendance, teacher/ family/student nominations) to identify students who require Tier II supports.	• Multiple data sources used (e.g., ODRs, time out of instruction, attendance, academic performance) • Team decision rubric • Team meeting minutes • School policy	0 = No specific rules for identifying students who qualify for Tier II supports 1 = Data decision rules established but not consistently followed or used with only one data source 2 = Written policy exists that (a) uses multiple data sources for identifying students, and (b) ensures that families are notified promptly when students enter Tier II supports
2.4 Request for Assistance: Tier II planning team uses written request for assistance form and process that are timely and available to all staff, families, and students.	• School handbook • Request for assistance form • Family handbook	0 = No formal process 1 = Informal process in place for staff and families to request assistance 2 = Written request for assistance form and process are in place and team responds to request within 3 days
Subscale: Interventions		
2.5 Options for Tier II Interventions: Tier II team has multiple ongoing behavior support interventions with documented evidence of effectiveness matched to student need.	• School Tier II handbook • Targeted Interventions Reference Guide	0 = No Tier II interventions with documented evidence of effectiveness are in use 1 = Only 1 Tier II intervention with documented evidence of effectiveness is in use 2 = Multiple Tier II interventions with documented evidence of effectiveness matched to student need

Scoring Criteria: 0=Not implemented; 1=Partially implemented; 2=Fully implemented

Feature	Possible Data Sources	Scoring Criteria
2.6 **Tier II Critical Features:** Tier II behavior support interventions provide (a) additional instruction/time for student skill development, (b) additional structure/predictability, and/ or (c) increased opportunity for feedback (e.g., daily progress report).	• Universal lesson plans • Tier II lesson plans • Daily/weekly progress report • School schedule • School Tier II handbook	0 = Tier II interventions do not promote additional instruction/ time, improved structure, or increased feedback 1 = All Tier II interventions provide some but not all 3 core Tier II features 2 = All Tier II interventions include all 3 core Tier II features
2.7 **Practices Matched to Student Need:** A formal process is in place to select Tier II interventions that are (a) matched to student need (e.g., behavioral function), and (b) adapted to improve contextual fit (e.g., culture, developmental level).	• Data sources used to identify interventions • School policy • Tier II handbook • Needs assessment • Targeted Interventions Reference Guide	0 = No process in place 1 = Process for selecting Tier II interventions does not include documentation that interventions are matched to student need 2 = Formal process in place to select practices that match student need and have contextual fit (e.g., developmentally and culturally appropriate)
2.8 **Access to Tier I Supports:** Tier II supports are explicitly linked to Tier I supports, and students receiving Tier II supports have access to, and are included in, Tier I supports.	• Universal lesson plans and teaching schedule • Tier II lesson plans • Acknowledgement system • Student of the month documentation • Family communication	0 = No evidence that students receiving Tier II interventions have access to Tier I supports 1 = Tier II supports are not explicitly linked to Tier I supports and/ or students receiving Tier II interventions have some, but not full access to Tier I supports 2 = Tier II supports are explicitly linked to Tier I supports, and students receiving Tier II interventions have full access to all Tier I supports

Scoring Criteria: 0=Not implemented; 1=Partially implemented; 2=Fully implemented

Feature	Possible Data Sources	Scoring Criteria
2.9 Professional Development: A written process is followed for teaching all relevant staff how to refer students and implement each Tier II intervention that is in place.	• Professional development calendar • Staff handbook • Lesson plans for teacher trainings • School policy	0 = No process for teaching staff in place 1 = Professional development and orientation process is informal 2 = Written process used to teach and coach all relevant staff in all aspects of intervention delivery, including request for assistance process, using progress report as an instructional prompt, delivering feedback, and monitoring student progress
Subscale: Evaluation		
2.10 Level of Use: Team follows written process to track proportion of students participating in Tier II supports, and access is proportionate.	• Tier II enrollment data • Tier II team meeting minutes • Progress monitoring tool	0 = Team does not track number of students responding to Tier II interventions 1 = Team defines criteria for responding to each Tier II intervention and tracks students, but fewer than 5% of students are enrolled 2 = Team defines criteria and tracks proportion, with at least 5% of students receiving Tier II supports
2.11 Student Performance Data: Tier II team tracks proportion of students experiencing success (% of participating students being successful) and uses Tier II intervention outcomes data and decision rules for progress monitoring and modification.	• Student progress data (e.g., % of students meeting goals) • Intervention Tracking Tool • Daily/Weekly Progress Report sheets • Family communication	0 = Student data not monitored 1 = Student data monitored but no data decision rules established to alter (e.g., intensify or fade) support 2 = Student data (% of students being successful) monitored and used at least monthly, with data decision rules established to alter (e.g., intensify or fade) support, and shared with stakeholders

Scoring Criteria: 0=Not implemented; 1=Partially implemented; 2=Fully implemented

Feature	Possible Data Sources	Scoring Criteria
2.12 Fidelity Data: Tier II team has a protocol for ongoing review of fidelity for each Tier II practice.	• Tier II coordinator training • District technical assistance • Fidelity probes taken monthly by a Tier II team member	0 = Fidelity data are not collected for any practice 1 = Fidelity data (e.g., direct, self-report) collected for some but not all Tier II interventions 2 = Periodic, direct assessments of fidelity collected by Tier II team for all Tier II interventions
2.13 Annual Evaluation: At least annually, Tier II team assesses overall effectiveness and efficiency of strategies, including data-decision rules to identify students, range of interventions available, fidelity of implementation, and on-going support to implementers; and evaluations are shared with staff and district leadership.	• Staff and student surveys • Tier II handbook • Fidelity tools • School policy • Student outcomes • District reports	0 = No data-based evaluation takes place 1 = Evaluation conducted, but outcomes not used to shape the Tier II process 2 = Evaluation conducted at least annually, and outcomes shared with staff and district leadership, plus clear alterations in process proposed based on evaluation

Scoring Criteria: 0=Not implemented; 1=Partially implemented; 2=Fully implemented