Focus	Difficulty	Possible solutions
Screening	Inaccurate or Lack of Confidence in Screening Data Data is inconsistent or missing Data is not "matching up" with other assessments and/or teacher validation Data is not identifying students with the most need	 Develop and distribute a written checklist for screening procedures and ensure that it is followed (include training, fidelity checks on administration and scoring, random checks of student screening protocols for accuracy, and checks on data entry) Resource: http://miblsi.cenmi.org/LinkClick.aspx?fileticket=f-sgzQnrYxQ%3d&tabid=1719 Are there multiple people entering data? If so, run multiple random checks on accuracy of data entry. Provide checklist w/screen shots. Consider "shadow" scoring (e.g. two adults score one student each with their own protocol to see if they are within two correct words per minute or four on the retell) or practice with video clips. Resource: http://dibels.org/scoring_practice.html
Screening	Identifying too many students Screening identifies over 50% of the school	 □ Is it a distributed problem (e.g. school wide) or are there spikes by certain classrooms? (If you have classroom spikes, first verify accurate administration/scoring and data entry, then examine classroom instruction) □ If school or grade wide, primary focus is on Tier 1 instruction □ If it is one particular grade, check Tier 1 instruction as well as grades before (was there a rapid increase in expectations that were not addressed in earlier grades?) □ Check criteria for decision rule on screening (e.g. benchmark, norm-referenced, or combination). Is it too low? (Caution: Cannot go lower than research criteria developed for the screening measure) Does number of students not at risk roughly match up with proficient performance on high stakes assessments?
Screening	Interpretation and use of screening data Data is not shared with instructional staff Data is not accessible to teachers Data is ignored or not used	 Assign one person the time and task of coordinating and following up with screening Develop and distribute a written timeline for all screening windows during the school year Does teaching staff obtain screening results within two days or less? Does Building and District leadership obtain screening data within one week to analyze trends, curriculum and professional development decisions? If not, what support do they need?

Focus	Difficulty	Possible solutions
Screening	Our leadership team self-assessment data is not matching up with our student outcomes or staff assessment data. For behavior, Self-Assessment Survey (SAS) filled out by staff is much lower than the Benchmark of Quality (BoQ) filled out by leadership team. For reading, our systems checklist score is significantly higher than our screening student outcome data	 Increase the amount and quality (e.g. use data) of communication from the leadership team to staff. Celebrate small increments of growth (e.g. we taught school wide expectations!) and show the benefits of the work (our Office Discipline referrals are down from this time last year). Re-examine select items on the reading systems checklist with a larger "focus" group to look for gaps in understanding Take select sections on the reading systems checklist and administer with different grade level teams. Resource: http://miblsi.cenmi.org/MiBLSiModel/Evaluation/Measures.aspx
Instruction	Core instruction is not effective System is overwhelmed by students identified as "at-risk"(e.g. more than 20%). Number of students at-risk has stayed the same over time.	 □ Check the accuracy of screening data □ Examine adequacy of core instructional materials using a skills trace Resource: Core material scope and sequence; Common Core Standards, Curriculum Maps. http://miblsi.cenmi.org/miblsimodel/implementation □ Conduct a "time" assessment (allocated versus actual) □ Check for active student participation and engagement (on-task and responding for 70% or more of observation) Resource: Explicit instruction: Effective and efficient teaching, Chap6 www.explicitinstruction.org □ Assess teacher-student feedback ratios. Did 100% of incorrect student responses receive accurate and timely corrective feedback? □ Are all students given multiple and frequent opportunities to respond? Check for response cards or slates, pair-shares, choral responses, oral responses, written response, and action responses. □ Check pacing □ Analyze instructional routines. Are they concise? Efficient? Resource: Using Instructional Routines, a Guide for Teachers" www.centeroninstruction.org □ Conduct a materials check (are core materials being used?) □ Do you need to increase instructional time for the core area? □ Check classroom conditions Resource: Explicit instruction.org □ Spot check transition times, are within-class transitions two minutes or less? □ Check fidelity of supplemental interventions □ Examine classroom management. Resource: http://miblsi.cenmi.org

Focus	Difficulty		Possible solutions
Supplemental	Many students are not successful in		Use a checklist to examine the integrity of the intervention (was it delivered
Intervention	supplemental intervention		as designed?) Resource: Heartland AEA 11 intervention integrity lists
	70-90% of students are not making		Examine the intensity of the intervention. Resource: Alterable variables chart
	progress		Recheck the research base supporting the intervention and what it is
	Majority, if not all, students receiving	_	designed to do. Resource: USDE Evaluation Guide
	supplemental intervention remain there all year		Use sorting tools to ensure correct match. Resource: Huron ISD, MiBLSi website, DIBELS Grouping Sheets
			Use diagnostic assessments and intervention placement/pretests to ensure correct match
			Check pacing
			Check goal setting (e.g. Read Naturally). Are student goals ambitious?
			Increase opportunities for student response and feedback. Resource:
			Explicit instruction: Effective and efficient teaching, Chap6
			www.explicitinstruction.org
			Examine allocated versus actual time. Is the intervention being run five days
			a week? Is it being run at a minimum of 30 minutes (excluding Kindergarten)
			Retrain interventionists
			Video tape interventionists to assist in coaching and problem solving
			Provide time for peer coaching of intervention delivery
			Is group size between 5 and 8 students?
			Add or adjust student reinforcers or rewards
0	Internal Community		Examine intervention space, is it conducive to learning?
Supplemental	Intervention management		Dedicate an intervention coordinator or manager
Intervention	Groups are unorganized	_	Scale back on the number of interventions (e.g. do you have too many to
	Materials are lacking		manage?) Communicate and distribute an intervention schedule
	Training was insufficient		Post a building wide schedule
			Retrain interventionists
			Video tape interventionists to assist in coaching and problem solving
			Provide time for peer coaching of intervention delivery
			Retrain students and staff on transition routines
			Conduct a materials inventory and needs assessment

Focus	Difficulty	Possible solutions	
Supplemental	Progress monitoring is not systematic		Agree on a building or district wide progress monitoring schedule
Intervention	Progress monitoring data is not collected		Dedicate a progress monitoring coordinator (or add to intervention
	systematically or consistently		coordinator)
	Progress monitoring data is not shared		Communicate and document who will progress monitor which students, with
	with teachers		what and how often
			include classroom teacher and interventionists
			Examine data for groups of students and by intervention (e.g. Read
			Naturally), not just individual student progress
			Resource: Tier 2/3 Tracking Form, http://miblsi.cenmi.org
			Conduct fidelity checks with staff administering progress monitoring
Cumplemental	Evit ouitouis is not board on date on		3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 1 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Supplemental Intervention	Exit criteria is not based on data or doesn't exist		Choose a decision rule (e.g. benchmark, slope or rate of growth, three data points above the aimline)
intervention	Decision rules are not in place to exit		Conduct a mini-training on the decision rule with a rationale, example and
	students from interventions	_	non-example
	Students remain in interventions too long		Develop and communicate a template for recording decisions
	Stadents remain in interventions too long		Resource: Tier 2/3 Tracking Form, http://miblsi.cenmi.org
			Spot check progress monitoring decisions to look for use of the decision rule
Evaluation	We are not sure if MTSS is working in		Use "Boardwalk" example as a template to pull all the MTSS pieces into a
	our building		snapshot form
			Pull data into multiple years (e.g. cross year box plots; Excel graphs)
			Collect data around the system as well as student outcomes (e.g. how many
			times did the MTSS Leadership team meet? What was your average
			intervention integrity score? What was the average length of intervention
			time? What percent of students received intervention? What was their
			progress?)
Implementation			
	Team meetings are not attended		Initiatives Worksheet, Tiered Practices Audit, Leadership Team Practice
	Loss of momentum	_	Profile) Resource: Coaches' Toolbox on Leadership, http://miblsi.cenmi.org
	Communication is random		Resource: Heartland AEA 11 Instructional Decision Making Troubleshooting
	Leadership changes or competing		Guide http://www.aea11.k12.ia.us/educators/idm/guide.html
	initiatives		Create and communicate a long range plan for MTSS (staff, parents, board)